

BRICK, TOWNSHIP
OF
(PLANNING AND ZONING DEPT.)



LDS BR 10502328

RESOLUTION
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT, TOWNSHIP OF BRICK

Dated: January 14, 19 81

WHEREAS Charles Marcusis, contract purchaser
 (Appellant) (Applicant) has applied to the

Board of Adjustment of the Township of Brick for permission to have a multifamily use on all
 but 200 feet east of Route No. 88

at premises located at State Highway #88

Township of Brick and known as Block 1170 Lot(s) 18 on the Tax Map of the Township of Brick

Which premises are in a combination of B-2 and RR zones zone; and WHEREAS, the Board after carefully considering the evidence presented by applicant and of the adjoining property owners and general public, has made the following factual findings:

1. Variance concerned more particularly the RR zone since the portion of the B-2 zone included is general business in nature.
2. Board finds the RR zone is in reality a holding pattern for uses which could not be determined by the planners, since with the population growth in this area is neither rural nor urban.
3. Property in question is situated between two major highways and we must look for uses other than one acre lots which do not appear to be sensible in the area.
4. Density can be controlled as well as traffic and other requirements.
5. Area itself does not have many condominiums and there is a need for this type of housing in the \$50,000 range for low and medium cost housing.

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the relief requested by applicant (can) ~~(cannot)~~ be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of the Zone Plan and Zoning Ordinance of the Township of Brick.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Adjustment of the Township of Brick, on this 14th

day of January, 19 81 ~~that approval of the application of~~
~~be (granted) (cannot) subject, however, to the following conditions:~~

That the application of Charles Marousis

be (granted) ~~(cannot) subject, however, to the following conditions:~~

ROLL CALL VOTE

Moved By: Legreide

Seconded By: Underwood

Those in Favor: Parker, Underwood, Legreide, Tremper

Those Opposed: Schmier, Sisco, Kenney

Those Absent:

Those Not Voting:

Resolution failed for lack of 5 affirmative votes.